1	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	
2	Edward Manibusan Attorney General	
3	J. Robert Glass, Jr. (F0523) Chief Solicitor	
4	Kassandra Knoff (T0178) Assistant Attorney General	
5	Hon Juan A. Sablan Mem. Bldg., 2nd Floor Saipan, MP 96950-8907	
	Tel: (670)-237-7500	
6	Fax: (670)-664-2349 e-mail: robby_glass@cnmioag.org	
7	Attorney for DPS Commissioner Macaranas In his Official Capacity	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE	
9	NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS	
10	PAUL MURPHY,	
11	Plaintiff,	Civil Action No. 1-24-CV-00017
12	v.	
13	Anthony Iglecias Macaranas, in his official	ANSWER
14	capacity as Commissioner of the Department of Public Safety of the Northern Mariana	
15	Islands	
16	Defendant.	
17	Defendant, Anthony Macaranas, in his of	ficial capacity as the Commissioner of the CNMI
18	Department of Public Safety, by and through his attorney or record, J. Robert Glass, Jr., files his Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief filed February 5, 2025.	
19		
20	Answer to Framiting 8 Complaint for Declaratory 8	and injunctive Reflet filed rebluary 3, 2023.
21		
22	1) Admitted.	
23	2) Paragraph 2 is a legal argument to which no response is required. To the extent a response	
24	is required, the law speaks for itself and anything else is denied.	
25	3) Paragraph 3 is a legal argument to which no response is required. To the extent a response	
26	is required, Defendant admits that the law speak for itself, but denies the rest.	
27	1 .,	,
28		

ANSWER Page 1 of 4 

- 4) Paragraph 4 is a legal argument to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant admits that the laws and cases speak for themselves, but the rest.
- 5) Defendant admits jurisdiction and venue.
- 6) Admitted, and Defendant thanks Plaintiff for his honorable service to our country.
- 7) Defendant admits paragraph 7 to the extent that Defendant is properly identified in his official capacity and is responsible for enforcing Public Law 19-42 § 208(a)(2) (6 CMC §10208(a)(2)).
- 8) Admitted as to the facts that Plaintiff was denied on those dates and by those people for his request to purchase the Maxim Defense PDX-SD pistol chambered in 5.56 NATO with a built in "soup can" suppressor, a Banish 30 suppressor, and a Ruger MKIV-SD Integral Suppressor 22 caliber pistol. Defendant likewise admits that the laws speak for themselves, but denies anything else.
- 9) Paragraph 9 is a legal argument to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant admits that violation of certain statutes can carry criminal penalties.Denied as to Plaintiff's legal interpretation.
- 10) Paragraph 10 is a legal argument to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant admits statutes and laws speak for themselves. Anything else is denied.
- 11) Paragraph 11 re-alleges prior paragraphs and is a legal argument to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant repeats his answers for those paragraphs that are re-alleged. The remaining statement are legal arguments to which no response is required, and to the extent a response is required, denied.
- 12) Paragraph 12 is a legal argument to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant admits the laws speak for themselves, and denies anything else.

1	13) Paragraph 13 is a legal argument to which no response is required. To the extent a respons		
2	is required, Defendant admits the laws speak for themselves, and denies anything else.		
3	14) Paragraph 14 is a legal argument to which no response is required. To the extent a response		
4	is required, Defendant admits the laws speak for themselves, and denies anything else		
5	15) Paragraph 15 re-alleges prior paragraphs and sets forth his request to have Public Law 19		
6 7	42 § 208(a)(2) (6 CMC §10208(a)(2)) declared unconstitutional and to issue a permanen		
8	injunction to bar enforcement. Defendant re-alleges his prior responses and denies tha		
9	Plaintiff's requested relief is warranted and denies Plaintiff's legal analysis.		
10			
11	<u>AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES</u>		
12	1) Failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. Plaintiff's complaint does not		
13	allege any claims upon which relief may be granted.		
14			
15	Respectfully Submitted,		
16	April 24, 2025		
17			
18			
19	CNMI OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL EDWARD MANIBUSAN, ATTORNEY GENERAL		
20	By: <u>/s/ J. Robert Glass, Jr.</u>		
21	J. Robert Glass, Jr., Bar No. F0523 Chief Solicitor		
<ul><li>22</li><li>23</li></ul>	Office of the Attorney General		
24	Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands		
25	Attorneys for the Commonwealth		
26			
27			
28			

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the above and foregoing Answer has been electronically filed and served on Plaintiff through the ECF system on April 24, 2025.

/s/\_J. Robert Glass, Jr.

J. Robert Glass, Jr., Bar No. F0523 Chief Solicitor

Office of the Attorney General Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands

ANSWER